

2

REGISTRATION
OPTIONS

FACE TO FACE
OR ONLINE

20TH ANNUAL FAMILY LAW CONFERENCE

When Inheritance Meets Family Law: Safeguarding Intergenerational Wealth

Add Backs, Wastage and the New s 79 Landscape

Family Violence as a Property Factor

When the Judge Takes the Lead: Less Adversarial Trials in Property Matters

Statutory Disclosure, Practitioner Exposure and the New Risk Landscape

Digital Evidence and Professional Responsibility:

Managing Social Media Risk in Family Law Proceedings

Asset Concealment, Forensic Strategy & Urgent Relief in Property Settlements

Strategic Decision-Making in Interim Parenting Hearings

Reopening Parenting Orders After s 65DAAA

Subpoenas & Sensitive Records: Navigating the Protected Confidences Regime

Not Perfect — But Still Binding?

Enforcing Defective Financial Agreements Under s 90G(1A)

Negligence, Limitation Periods & Financial Agreements

After R Lawyers v Mr Daily

A two-day Conference

Thursday 20 & Friday 21 August 2026

JW Marriott Gold Coast or Online

Feedback from last year's conference:

- Always great content and speakers - best conference available in terms of content for those further along in their careers particularly. Good venue as well, with conference management handled in a professional and helpful manner.
- Great presentations with excellent papers and slides - easy to follow and the presenters kept me engaged.
- A great range of impressive speakers and very topical subject matters for the presentations.
- I enjoyed the conference. The topics were good and the speakers were high quality. I was pleased to see there is a paper for each speaker.



Your Trusted CPD Partner for more than 50 Years

DAY 1: THURSDAY 20 AUGUST 2026

MORNING THEME: PROPERTY SETTLEMENTS AFTER THE AMENDMENTS: NEW FACTORS, NEW ARGUMENTS, NEW PATHWAYS

9.00 - 9.15am

Introduction and welcome

9.15 - 10.00am

Session 1: When Inheritance Meets Family Law: Safeguarding Intergenerational Wealth

The largest intergenerational wealth transfer in Australia's history is well underway, and family lawyers are increasingly seeing inheritances and family gifts drawn into property settlements following relationship breakdowns. Assets intended to preserve family wealth for future generations can quickly become exposed — even where received post-separation or long after a relationship began. This session examines how courts are treating inherited and gifted wealth, the vulnerabilities that arise in later-life and adult-child relationships, and the strategic tools available to protect family assets before they are placed at risk. It covers:

- The Family Court's robust approach to including inheritances in the property pool and the trend towards treating bequests as shared property
- Pre-owned property in later-life relationships and the risk that de facto relationships expose unencumbered assets to family law property claims
- BFAs as the primary mechanism for ousting the court's jurisdiction and protecting separate property
- Common misconceptions about BFAs and the reality that properly prepared agreements by Accredited Specialists are binding and enforceable
- Technical requirements for valid BFAs including legal advice, compliance with statutory formalities, and avoiding unconscionable conduct
- Child Maintenance Trusts under s 102(a)(g) of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1936 as a vehicle for preserving family wealth for grandchildren
- Strategic estate planning including testamentary trusts, capital injection flexibility, and the ability to vest capital beyond age 18 to protect intergenerational wealth

Speaker: Paul Doolan, Partner, Barkus Doolan Winning, Sydney, NSW

10.00 - 10.10am Panel Comments and Questions

10.10 - 10.55am

Session 2: Dissipated Assets After the Amendments: Add Backs, Wastage and the New s 79 Landscape

The 2025 amendments have fundamentally changed how courts deal with spending, losses and asset dissipation in property settlement proceedings. By confining the asset pool to existing legal and equitable interests and embedding material wastage into the statutory framework, the reforms have dismantled the traditional "add backs" approach and forced practitioners to rethink how dissipation arguments are pleaded, proved and answered. This session examines how courts are now dealing with dissipated assets in practice, and what this means for strategy, evidence and client advice in contested property matters. This session covers:

- The shift to "existing" legal and equitable interests under s 79(3) and why traditional add backs no longer form part of the asset pool
- How dissipated assets are now addressed through contributions and future circumstances under ss 79(4) and (5)
- Where material wastage sits in the amended statutory framework and how it is being characterised by courts
- The continuing relevance of *Kowaliw v Kowaliw* and the distinction between intentional, reckless and merely poor financial decision-making
- Gambling losses, lifestyle spending and business losses: evidentiary and forensic challenges in proving dissipation
- The practical difference between historical "add backs" and modern adjustments for contributions or future needs
- Strategic lessons from *Shinohara v Shinohara* and other post-amendment authorities
- How to plead, prove and defend dissipation arguments under the new regime

Speaker: Antonella Sanderson, Principal Solicitor/ Director, AccS(Fam), Family Law Matters, Sydney, NSW

10.55 - 11.05am Panel Comments and Questions

11.05 - 11.30am Networking Break and Morning Tea

DAY 1: THURSDAY 20 AUGUST 2026

11.30am - 12.15pm

Session 3: Family Violence as a Property Factor: Statutory Integration, Evidence and Outcomes

Family violence now operates as an express statutory consideration in property settlement determinations, marking a decisive shift from its former status as a limited, case-law based exception. The amended framework requires courts to engage directly with the economic and financial consequences of violence when assessing both contributions and future needs. This session examines how the integration of family violence into the statutory pathway is reshaping property outcomes, the evidentiary burdens practitioners face, and the strategic decisions required when violence is alleged or established. It covers:

- The expanded definition of family violence: economic abuse, financial coercion, and dowry abuse under s 4AB
- How the Kennon principle has been codified and reframed within the statutory assessment of contributions
- New factors for current and future circumstances under s 79(4) including economic effects of violence on earning capacity and financial security
- The distinction between automatic entitlement and impact-based assessment
- Evidentiary considerations including proving the effect of family violence on contributions and future needs
- Interaction with criminal proceedings and family violence intervention orders
- Strategic advice for clients who are victim-survivors of family violence in property settlements

Speaker: Jacky Campbell, Partner and Accredited Family Law Specialist, Forte Family Law, Melbourne, Vic

12.15 - 12.25pm Panel Comments and Questions

12.25 - 1.10pm

Session 4: When the Judge Takes the Lead: Less Adversarial Trials in Property Matters

The extension of less adversarial trial procedures into property settlements and spousal maintenance matters marks a significant change in how financial disputes are managed and determined. What was once a parenting-focused model now places judges in a far more active role in shaping the conduct, evidence and trajectory of financial cases. For practitioners, this demands a recalibration of advocacy, preparation and client management. This session examines how less adversarial trials are operating in property matters, when they are being imposed or embraced, and how lawyers can adapt their approach without losing forensic discipline. It covers:

- The automatic application of less adversarial procedures in pt VII parenting matters and the discretionary application in property and financial disputes
- The expanded judicial role including active case management, identifying key issues, and direct questioning of parties and witnesses
- Modifications to the rules of evidence under div 12A and the broader admissibility of material that would ordinarily be excluded
- Remote attendance provisions for parties experiencing or at risk of family violence and accommodations to reduce intimidation and stress
- The circumstances in which parties may consent to less adversarial procedures in property matters and when courts may impose them without consent
- Strategic considerations for practitioners including adapting advocacy styles, preparing clients for judge-led questioning, and managing expectations in a less formal environment
- The policy rationale for extending less adversarial procedures to property matters and the court's focus on practical, family-centered outcomes

Speaker: to be advised

1.10 - 1.20pm Panel Comments and Questions

1.20 - 2.15pm Luncheon

DAY 1: THURSDAY 20 AUGUST 2026

AFTERNOON THEME: EVIDENCE, DISCLOSURE AND FORENSIC PRESSURE POINTS

2.15 - 3.00pm

Session 5: Statutory Disclosure, Practitioner Exposure and the New Risk Landscape

The elevation of disclosure obligations from court rules into the Family Law Act 1975 has fundamentally changed the risk profile of family law practice. What was once a procedural expectation is now a statutory duty, with direct consequences not only for parties, but for practitioners who fail to properly advise, supervise and enforce compliance. As the amendments move from theory into everyday litigation, courts are taking a harder line on non-disclosure, partial disclosure and delay. This session examines how the statutory disclosure regime is operating in practice, where practitioners are being caught out, and how to manage the heightened forensic and professional risk. It covers:

- The codification of disclosure duties in ss 71B and 90RI for married and de facto couples and the relationship between the Act and the Federal Circuit and Family Court Rules 2021
- The temporal scope of the duty from pre-action preparation through to finalisation of proceedings and the obligation to provide timely, continuing disclosure
- The substantive scope requiring disclosure of "all information and documents" relevant to the issues, extending beyond prescribed lists in the Rules
- The extension of disclosure obligations to third parties and litigation guardians where relevant to the proceedings
- Consequences for non-compliance including property adjustments under ss 79 and 90SM, costs orders, contempt proceedings, and stay or dismissal of proceedings
- New statutory obligations for legal practitioners and FDRPs to inform clients about disclosure duties, potential consequences, and encourage compliance
- Best practice strategies for ensuring complete and timely disclosure including early gathering of documents, prompt responses to requests, and ongoing update

Speaker: Adam Cooper, Principal, Cooper Family Law, Spring Hill, Qld

3.00 - 3.10pm Panel Comments and Questions

3.10 - 3.55pm

Session 6: Digital Evidence and Professional Responsibility: Managing Social Media Risk in Family Law Proceedings

Social media posts, comments, and private messages are increasingly tendered as evidence in family law proceedings, yet many clients remain unaware of how their online behaviour may undermine credibility, contradict claims, or demonstrate poor judgment in parenting and financial disputes. In this session, attendees will gain an understanding of the evidentiary and strategic issues arising from social media in family law matters, including:

- The admissibility of social media content as evidence in parenting and property proceedings and the court's approach to evaluating online behaviour
- Types of social media content that commonly damage cases including posts contradicting financial hardship claims, evidence of substance use, and disparagement of the other party
- The judicial approach to social media evidence in parenting disputes and how courts assess posts when determining parental capacity and child-focused decision-making
- Spoliation concerns and the risks of deleting posts including adverse inferences, preservation obligations, and potential contempt issues
- Subpoenas to social media platforms for past activity and the ability to recover deleted content through screenshots or third-party retention
- Best practice client advice on social media conduct during proceedings including privacy settings, tagging children, and the concept that private settings do not guarantee confidentiality
- Professional responsibility considerations when clients have already posted problematic content or when opposing parties tender social media evidence

Speaker: Catherine Devine, Barrister, Foley's List, Melbourne

3.55 - 4.05pm Panel Comments and Questions

4.05 - 4.25pm Networking Break and Afternoon Tea

DAY 1: THURSDAY 20 AUGUST 2026

4.25 - 5.10pm

Session 7: Out of Sight, Out of Mind? Asset Concealment, Forensic Strategy and Urgent Relief in Property Settlements

Suspected asset concealment remains one of the most disruptive and strategically complex issues in family law property disputes. For experienced practitioners, the challenge is not identifying the obligation of disclosure, but knowing when and how to move quickly, what evidence is sufficient to justify intrusive relief, and how to protect a client's position without overreaching. This session examines advanced forensic and procedural strategies for dealing with hidden assets and deliberate non-disclosure in contested property matters. It will explore:

- How courts approach the duty of full and frank disclosure in practice, and when breaches materially affect outcomes
- Common concealment techniques encountered in modern property disputes, including asset transfers, cash withdrawals, third-party arrangements and manufactured liabilities
- Practical forensic steps to preserve and uncover evidence, including document preservation strategies, financial account monitoring and targeted evidentiary gathering
- The use of injunctive relief under the Family Law Act 1975 to restrain dealings with assets, including when interim or final orders are realistically available
- Ex parte applications in urgent cases: evidentiary thresholds, disclosure obligations and managing the risk of adverse consequences
- The consequences of non-compliance, including cost orders, adverse inferences and adjustments in the property settlement outcome
- Strategic judgment calls when advising clients who suspect concealment, including timing, proportionality and settlement leverage

Speaker: John Spender, Principal and Accredited Family Law Specialist, Kennedy Partners, Melbourne, Vic

5.10 - 5.20pm Panel Comments and Questions

DAY 2: FRIDAY 21 AUGUST 2026

MORNING THEME: PARENTING MATTERS

9.00 - 9.05am

Introduction and welcome

9.05 - 9.50am

Session 8: Subpoenas and Sensitive Records: Navigating the Protected Confidences Regime

The introduction of a statutory protected confidences regime has significantly altered how courts approach subpoenas for therapeutic and support records in family law proceedings. What was once largely governed by discretion and common law principles is now subject to a structured balancing exercise that places harm to protected confidants and children squarely in focus. For practitioners, this has immediate implications for subpoena practice, evidentiary strategy and advice to clients and third parties holding sensitive records. This session examines how the protected confidences framework is being applied in practice, where disputes are arising, and how lawyers can navigate this evolving area without overreaching or underestimating risk. It covers:

- The definition of protected confidence and the scope of professional relationships covered including health services and specialist family violence and sexual assault services
- The harm versus benefit test applied by courts when determining whether to protect information from disclosure or use as evidence
- Types of harm considered by courts including physical harm, psychological harm, mental distress, and financial harm
- Available directions to protect information including non-compliance with subpoenas, partial production, restricted inspection, and exclusion from evidence
- Who may apply for protective directions including protected confidants, confidants, professionals in possession of documents, and parents or Independent Children's Lawyers for children
- The application process through a Notice of Objection – Subpoena and the suspension of compliance obligations while applications are assessed
- Strategic considerations for practitioners advising clients, health professionals, or organisations served with subpoenas for sensitive therapeutic records

Speaker: Stephen Page, Director, Page Provan, Accredited Family Law Specialist, 2023 Queensland Law Society President's Medal Recipient

9.50 - 10.00am Panel Comments and Questions

10.00 - 10.45am

Session 9: When Is Final Really Final? Reopening Parenting Orders After s 65DAAA

Final parenting orders were once treated as just that — final — with *Rice v Asplund* operating as a powerful gatekeeper against re-litigation. The introduction of s 65DAAA was widely assumed to entrench that position. Instead, emerging judicial interpretation suggests a more flexible, child-focused framework that may materially alter when and how final orders can be revisited. This session examines how courts are applying s 65DAAA in practice, what has truly changed since *Rice v Asplund*, and the strategic risks and opportunities this creates for practitioners advising on variation applications. It covers:

- The historical principle in *Rice v Asplund* as a threshold control on reopening final parenting orders
- Section 65DAAA of the Family Law Act and the two-stage test for reconsidering final parenting orders
- The distinction between "considering" whether there has been a significant change versus "finding" that such a change has occurred
- *Whitehill & Talaska* and the judicial interpretation that s 65DAAA does not require a positive finding of changed circumstances
- *Rasheem & Rasheem* and the fundamental criterion that reconsideration must be in the child's best interests regardless of whether circumstances have changed
- The practical implications for practitioners including whether the new test lowers the bar for reopening final orders and increases litigation risk
- Strategic considerations when advising clients on whether to seek variation of final parenting orders or defend against variation applications

Speaker: Justine Woods, Partner and Accredited Family Law Specialist, Cooper Grace Ward, Brisbane, Qld

10.45 - 10.55am Panel Comments and Questions

10.55 - 11.15am Networking Break and Morning Tea

DAY 2: FRIDAY 21 AUGUST 2026

11.15am - 12.00pm

Session 10: First Impressions Count: Strategic Decision-Making in Interim Parenting Hearings

Interim parenting hearings are often decisive moments in a case, shaping parenting arrangements, settlement dynamics and the trajectory of proceedings long before evidence is tested at final hearing. Practitioners must make strategic judgment calls under pressure, balancing speed against thoroughness, and persuasion against the court's clear reluctance to make definitive findings on contested facts. This session examines how interim parenting hearings are actually determined, the evidentiary constraints practitioners face, and how to prepare cases that withstand scrutiny while avoiding overreach.

- Judicial approach to interim hearings and the principles in *Marvel & Marvel* and *SS & AH*
- Evidentiary limitations at interim stage
- Judicial decision-making methodology: confining findings to agreed or corroborated matters and weighing probabilities of competing factual claims
- The role of child impact reports and how court child expert evidence assists interim determinations
- Maximising corroboration through subpoenas, witness affidavits, and documentary evidence
- The long-term impact of interim orders and how early determinations shape final hearing outcomes and case trajectory
- Managing client expectations about interim outcomes and advising on proportionate preparation efforts

Speaker: Dr Laura Tilt, Senior Associate, Watts McCray, Sydney, NSW

12.00 - 12.10pm Panel Comments and Questions

12.10 - 1.10pm Lunch

AFTERNOON THEME: FINANCIAL AGREEMENTS AND PROFESSIONAL RISK

1.10 - 1.55pm

Session 11: Not Perfect — But Still Binding? Enforcing Defective Financial Agreements Under s 90G(1A)

Binding financial agreements were once thought to live or die by strict statutory compliance. Section 90G(1A) has disrupted that certainty, giving courts a discretionary power to enforce agreements that are technically defective where it would be unjust and inequitable not to do so. For practitioners, this has materially altered both enforcement strategy and advice to clients seeking to escape poorly prepared agreements. This session examines how courts are exercising that discretion in practice, the limits of the safety net, and the professional risks that remain when compliance falls short. It covers:

- The statutory requirements for valid Binding Financial Agreements including independent legal advice and solicitor certification under ss 90B, 90C, and 90D
- Section 90G(1A) and the court's discretion to find non-compliant agreements binding where it would be unjust and inequitable not to do so
- The case of *Whittle* and the key factors considered by courts including initiation, absence of duress, performance of obligations, and parties' post-execution conduct
- The onus on the party seeking to enforce a non-compliant agreement to satisfy the court that it would be unjust and inequitable for the agreement not to be binding
- The court's case-by-case approach examining the nature and extent of non-compliance rather than broader financial circumstances of the parties
- Strategic considerations for practitioners including the continuing importance of full statutory compliance and the cost-benefit analysis of proper legal advice versus litigation risk
- Professional responsibility issues when advising clients who have already entered into non-compliant agreements or who are considering informal financial arrangements

Speaker: Tim Gough, Principal, Kennedy Partners and Accredited Family Law Specialist, Melbourne

1.55 - 2.05pm Panel Comments and Questions

DAY 2: FRIDAY 21 AUGUST 2026

2.05 - 2.50pm

Session 12: When Does the Clock Really Start? Negligence, Limitation Periods and Financial Agreements After R Lawyers v Mr Daily

The High Court's decision in *R Lawyers v Mr Daily* has fundamentally reshaped how limitation periods operate in lawyer negligence claims arising from financial agreements. By confirming that loss is suffered at separation — not at the time of drafting — the Court has extended the window of professional exposure for practitioners, with significant implications for risk management, file practices and professional indemnity insurance. This session examines how negligence claims in financial agreement matters now accrue, what clients must prove to establish loss, and how practitioners can respond to the altered risk landscape. It covers:

- The evidentiary burden on clients to establish loss in lawyer negligence claims beyond proving breach of duty and the requirement to demonstrate a detrimental difference
- The inadequacy of proving a "lost chance" of a better outcome and the need to establish a substantial prospect of a beneficial outcome on the balance of probabilities
- The necessity for clients to adduce evidence of what a properly drafted financial agreement would have contained and whether the other party would have agreed to those terms
- The accrual of the cause of action at the time of separation rather than at the time of entering into the financial agreement given that financial agreements are not enforceable until breakdown
- The statutory nature of financial agreements under ss, 90B, 90K, and 90KA and their unique characteristics distinguishing them from ordinary contracts
- Risk management implications for practitioners including comprehensive file notes of instructions, scenario planning for material changes in circumstances, and advising on section 90K hardship provisions
- Professional indemnity insurance considerations given the extended period between drafting and potential accrual of claims following separation

Speaker: to be advised

2.50 - 3.00pm Panel Comments and Questions

3.00pm Conference Close

GENERAL INFORMATION

Conference Registration Fee

The registration fee includes attendance at the conference and conference papers. Refreshment breaks and lunches are included for face to face attendees.

Conference Papers

TEN does not provide printed copies of the conference papers or Powerpoints. Access to the papers & Powerpoints will be available online to all delegates in the lead-up to the conference (as they become available).

CPD

Lawyers: 11 CPD units/points (substantive law)

CPD Certificate

All delegates attending the conference will receive a CPD Certificate confirming attendance.

The Conference Venue

JW Marriott Gold Coast Resort & Spa

158 Ferny Avenue, Surfers Paradise, Qld

Reservation link: [Book your group rate for 20th Annual Family Law Conference](#)

The JW Marriott is offering a special group rate of \$365 per night for delegates attending the conference. This rate is available for Wednesday 19 & Thursday 20 August 2026.

- Full payment is due 1 day after booking
- The credit card used at the time of booking will be charged in full
- Booking will be non-refundable and no cancellations permitted

This offer is subject to availability and the cut-off date is 21.7.26

If you require any assistance please contact the in-house Reservation Team at the hotel directly via email reservations.goldcoast@jwmarriot.com

Travelling to the Conference Venue

Delegates are advised to make their own travel arrangements.

Conference Dress

Smart casual attire is suitable (note: the temperature in the conference rooms can vary depending on where you are sitting. Short sleeves are generally OK, but it is advisable that you bring a jacket/long sleeved top just in case you need it).

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. In these terms,
 - a. "TEN" means Television Education Network Pty Ltd
 - b. "you" means the person who has registered to attend or the person or persons who attend[s] the conference (if different from the person registered)
 - c. "conference" means the live face to face or live or recorded online educational event or which you have registered (by whatever name called and includes conferences, masterclasses, webinars and webinar series).
 - d. "live conference" means a conference other than a recorded conference.
 - e. "recorded conference" means a recording of a presentation or a series of presentations which you can view at a time of your choosing
 - f. "online" in relation to a conference means a conference you attend by viewing the conference over the Internet
 - g. "face to face" in relation to a conference means a conference held in an external venue where you view the conference in the conference room

General – all conferences

2. TEN may change the program for a live conference as described in the brochure you have downloaded or in the TEN website without notice to you in any manner and at any time.
3. The information and opinions of presenters at the conference are not necessarily those of TEN and are in the nature of general information and not professional advice and you rely on these at their own risk. TEN is not responsible for the accuracy of the information or the correctness of the opinions offered by presenters at the conference.
4. TEN is not responsible for any financial or other losses incurred by you or for injury or damage to persons or property which occur at or in connection with the conference.

Copyright

5. The copyright in materials prepared by presenters at a conference and made available to you by TEN is and remains the property of each presenter.
6. You are entitled to use those materials for private study and research only.
7. The copyright in the live stream and in any recording of a conference offered online is the property of TEN.
8. You are entitled to watch a conference for private study and research only.

Conference cancellation by TEN – all conferences

12. TEN reserves the right to cancel a conference for any reason.
13. If TEN cancels the conference, you will be entitled either to a refund of the registration fee you have paid or to a credit equal to that fee which you can use to purchase another TEN product within 12 months of the first day of the cancelled conference.

Cancellation by You – all conferences

14. Refunds for registration cancellation by you other than under Clause 6(b):
 - a. If notice of cancellation is actually received by TEN more than 10 days before the first day on which the conference is to be held, 85% of the registration fee you have paid
 - b. Otherwise, no refund.

Governing Law – all conferences

15. The agreement between TEN and you is governed by the laws in force in the State of Victoria and the courts and tribunals of that State have sole jurisdiction to determine disputes arising in relation to it.

